# Fiscal Estimate - 2021 Session

☑ Original ☐ Updated	Corrected Supple	mental
LRB Number <b>21-4591/1</b>	Introduction Number SB-60	9
<b>Description</b> stocking pheasants for hunting		
Fiscal Effect		
Appropriations	ease Existing absorb within agency's b	
Permissive Mandatory Perm  2. Decrease Costs 4. Decre	5.Types of Local Governments  ase Revenue  Dissive Mandatory  ease Revenue  Districts  5.Types of Local Governments  Units Affected  Towns  Counties  Other  School  Districts  Districts	e Cities
Fund Sources Affected  GPR FED PRO PRS	Affected Ch. 20 Appropriate SEG SEGS 20.370(1)(mu); (1)(hw); (1)	
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date
DNR/ Paul Neumann (608) 266-0818	Paul Neumann (608) 266-0818	11/1/2021

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives DNR 11/1/2021

LRB Number 21-4591/1	Introduction Number	SB-609	Estimate Type	Original
Description				
stocking pheasants for hunting				

### Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The bill requires the Department to establish a schedule for stocking pheasants to ensure adequate hunting opportunities through the end of each pheasant hunting season. The bill also requires DNR to meet certain pheasant production totals at the Poynette State Game Farm.

In addition, it requires the Department, no later than January 31, 2022, to submit to the legislature a report identifying properties where pheasants will be stocked and detailing a plan for the stocking of those properties. Following approval of the plan by the legislature, DNR must implement the plan for the next pheasant hunting season.

#### Fiscal Effect

- I. Assumptions and Background Information
- A. The Department assumes that the State Game Farm will produce 100% of the 250,000 birds required by the bill.
- B. The current capacity of the State Game Farm is 165,000 birds and would thus require remodeling to produce another 85,000 birds per year.
- C. The Department assumes that utilization of private sector game farms to increase pheasant production is not a cost-effective alternative. While the current price for a private sector mature rooster is ~\$11.50 per bird, an increase in the department's demand for birds by as many a 85,000/yr. will decrease supply and increase prices for an indeterminate number of years. Furthermore, the cost to purchase private sector birds does not include expenses that the department would incur to separate them from State Game Farm birds for biosecurity and disease prevention, as well as expenses for stocking the birds on public lands.
- D. Hatchery equipment cost estimates are based on purchases made in the 2015 State Game Farm expansion. The Department assumes prices for many of the items have gone up over this time period and has adjusted them for inflation by 15.7% (from 2015 to 2021).
- E. Costs for constructing new barns are based on replacement costs of equivalent structures currently in operation at the State Game Farm.
- II. Revenue

No revenue impacts are expected.

III. Costs

#### A. One-Time Costs

- 1. Two brooder barns: \$2,317,300
- 2. One breeder barn: \$1,158,600
- 3. Upgraded hatchery: \$1,000,000
- 4. Hatchery equipment: \$118,100
- 5. Seven breeder pens: \$35,000
- 6. Range fields netted flight pens (new and upgrades): \$665,000
- 7. Six trucks: \$240,000
- 8. Two stocking trailers: \$28,000
- 9. Miscellaneous feeding/watering supplies: \$86,500

10. One generator: \$50,000

11. One walk-in freezer: \$15,000

12. One incinerator: \$10,000

13. Pheasant spec blinders: \$28,800

14. Veterinary services: \$24,000

15. Total one-time expenses: \$5,776,300

In addition, the department estimates that it would incur minimal costs to prepare and submit the legislative report.

B. Ongoing Costs

1. One Game Farm FTE: \$30/hour (salary and fringe) = \$62,400

2. Five Game Farm LTE: \$20/hour (salary and fringe) = \$103,900

3. Additional bird-release costs; existing LTE staff: \$20/hour (salary and fringe) = \$106,000

4. Additional bird-release costs; new LTE staff: \$20/hour (salary and fringe) = \$100,000

5. Increased utility costs: \$50,000

6. Increased vehicle costs: \$120,000

7. Increased bird feed costs: \$960,000

8. Increased veterinary costs without disease outbreaks: \$24,000

9. Total ongoing expenses: \$1,526,300

## **Long-Range Fiscal Implications**

## Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2021 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

☑ Original ☐ Updated	Corrected	Supplemental		
LRB Number <b>21-4591/1</b>	Introduction Number	oer <b>SB-609</b>		
<b>Description</b> stocking pheasants for hunting				
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for annualized fiscal effect):	State and/or Local Governmer	nt (do not include in		
Estimated one-time costs of \$5,776,300 for S costs to prepare and submit legislative report.		ancements, plus minimal		
II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fis	Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:		
	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs		
A. State Costs by Category				
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$372,300	00 \$		
(FTE Position Changes)	(1.0 FTE)			
State Operations - Other Costs	1,154,000	•		
Local Assistance				
Aids to Individuals or Organizations				
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$1,526,300	\$		
B. State Costs by Source of Funds				
GPR				
FED				
PRO/PRS				
SEG/SEG-S	1,526,300			
III. State Revenues - Complete this only wh	nen proposal will increase or c	lecrease state revenues		
(e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee,	ets.)			
	Increased Rev	Decreased Rev		
GPR Taxes	\$	\$		
GPR Earned				
FED				
PRO/PRS				
SEG/SEG-S				
TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$		
NET ANNU	ALIZED FISCAL IMPACT			
	<u>State</u>	<u>Local</u>		
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$1,526,300	\$		
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	\$	\$		
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Data		
Agency/Frepared by	Authorized Signature	Date		
DNR/ Paul Neumann (608) 266-0818	aul Neumann (608) 266-0818 11/1/2021			